



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,334	03/17/2004	Nicholas W. Oakley	042390.P19161	5583
45209	7590	06/12/2008		
INTEL/BLAKELY 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			EXAMINER PESIN, BORIS M	
			ART UNIT 2174	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/12/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/803,334

Applicant(s)

OAKLEY ET AL.

Examiner

BORIS PESIN

Art Unit

2174

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 March 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 28-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 28-38 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SG/US)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This communication is responsive to the amendment filed 3/17/2008.

Claims 28-38 are pending in this application. Claims 28, 33, 37 and 38 are independent claims. In the amendment filed 3/17/2008, all the previous claims were canceled and claims 28-33 were added as new. This action is made Non-Final.

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/31/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 28-31 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bort (US 7305631).

In regards to claim 28, Bort teaches a method, comprising: sensing a directional movement of a computer system on a surface via a first movement sensor (See Abstract); and adjusting information displayed on a display of the computer system, wherein the information displayed is independent from the surface, and the adjusting is correlated to the directional movement of the computer system (See Abstract).

In regards to claim 29, Bort teaches the method of claim 28, wherein the first movement sensor is an optical sensor or a mechanical sensor (See Figure 5a).

In regards to claim 30, Bort teaches the method of claim 28, wherein the directional movement comprises angular rotation of the computer system sensed by the first movement sensor and a second movement sensor (See Figure 9).

In regards to claim 31, Bort teaches the method of claim 28, further comprising: correlating the directional movement of the computer system to a cursor movement on the display of the computer system (See Figure 8C).

Claim 37 is similar in scope to claim 28; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 33-36 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beaton (US 6037937).

In regards to claim 33, Beaton teaches a method, comprising:

sensing external pressure on a first section of a computer system toward a second section of the computer system, wherein the first section of the computer system comprises a display, and the first section of the computer system substantially overlaps the second section of the computer system (See Figures 10A-10C, Figure 12); and

translating the external pressure to a mouse clicking action for the computer system (See Figures 10A-10C, Figure 12).

In regards to claim 34, Beaton teaches the method of 33, wherein the second section of the computer system comprises a movement sensor (See Figure 11A).

In regards to claim 35, Beaton teaches the method of 33, wherein the external pressure may be applied toward perimeter of the first section of the computer system. (See Figure 10A)

In regards to claim 36, Beaton teaches the method of 33, wherein the external pressure may be applied toward center of the first section of the computer system (See Figure 10C)

. Claim 38 is similar in scope to claim 33; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bort in view of Beaton et al. (US 6037937).

In regards to claim 32, Bort teaches all the limitations of claim 28, Bort does not specifically teach sensing external pressure on a first section of the computer system toward a second section of the computer system, wherein the first section of the computer system comprises the display, the second section of the computer system comprises the first movement sensor, and the first section of the computer system substantially overlaps the second section of the

Art Unit: 2174

computer system; and translating the external pressure to a mouse click action for the computer system.

Beaton teaches sensing external pressure on a first section of the computer system toward a second section of the computer system, wherein the first section of the computer system comprises the display, the second section of the computer system comprises the first movement sensor, and the first section of the computer system substantially overlaps the second section of the computer system; and translating the external pressure to a mouse click action for the computer system (See Figures 10A-10C, Figure 12). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to modify Bort with the teachings of Beaton and include a touchscreen with the motivation to provide the user with a quicker method of selection.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 28-38 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BORIS PESIN whose telephone number is (571)272-4070. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday except every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax

Art Unit: 2174

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Boris Pesin/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2174